10 Karmarkars Algorithm

We want to solve the following linear program:

- $\min v = c^t x$ subject to $Ax = 0$ and $x \in \Delta$.
- Here $\Delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid e^t x = 1, x \geq 0\}$ with $e^t = (1, \dots, 1)$ denotes the standard simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Further assumptions:

1. A is an $m \times n$-matrix with rank m.
2. $Ae = 0$, i.e., the center of the simplex is feasible.
3. The optimum solution is 0.

---

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

Suppose you start with $\max\{c^t x \mid Ax = b; x \geq 0\}$.

- Multiply c by -1 and do a minimization. ⇒ minimization problem
- We can check for feasibility by using the two phase algorithm. ⇒ can assume that LP is feasible.
- Compute the dual; pack primal and dual into one LP and minimize the duality gap. ⇒ optimum is 0
- Add a new variable pair x_ℓ, x'_ℓ (both restricted to be positive) and the constraint $\sum_i x_i = 1$. ⇒ solution in simplex
- Add $-(\sum_i x_i)b_i = -b_i$ to every constraint. ⇒ vector b is 0
- If A does not have full column rank we can delete constraints (or conclude that the LP is infeasible). ⇒ A has full row rank

We still need to make e/n feasible.

---

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

The algorithm computes (strictly) feasible interior points $\bar{x}^{(0)} = \frac{e}{n}, x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \dots$ with

$$c^t x^k \leq 2^{-\Theta(L)} c^t x^0$$

For $k = \Theta(L)$. A point x is strictly feasible if $x > 0$.

If my objective value is close enough to 0 (the optimum!!) I can "snap" to an optimum vertex.

---

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

Iteration:

1. Distort the problem by mapping the simplex onto itself so that the current point $\bar{x}$ moves to the center.
2. Project the optimization direction c onto the feasible region. Determine a distance to travel along this direction such that you do not leave the simplex (and you do not touch the border). $\hat{x}$ is the point you reached.
3. Do a backtransformation to transform $\hat{x}$ into your new point x'.

The Transformation

Let $\bar{Y} = \mathrm{diag}(\bar{x})$ the diagonal matrix with entries $\bar{x}$ on the diagonal.

Define

$$F_{\bar{x}} : x \mapsto \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}x}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}x} \ .$$

The inverse function is

$$F_{\bar{x}}^{-1} : \hat{x} \mapsto \frac{\bar{Y}\hat{x}}{e^t \bar{Y}\hat{x}} \ .$$

Note that $\bar{x} > 0$ in every coordinate. Therefore the above is well defined.

Properties

$F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}$ really is the inverse of $F_{\bar{x}}$:

$$F_{\bar{x}}(F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\hat{x})) = \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}\frac{\bar{Y}\hat{x}}{e^t \bar{Y}\hat{x}}}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}\frac{\bar{Y}\hat{x}}{e^t \bar{Y}\hat{x}}} = \frac{\hat{x}}{e^t \hat{x}} = \hat{x}$$

because $\hat{x} \in \Delta$.

Note that in particular every $\hat{x} \in \Delta$ has a preimage (Urbild) under $F_{\bar{x}}$.

Properties

$\bar{x}$ is mapped to e/n

$$F_{\bar{x}}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}\bar{x}}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}\bar{x}} = \frac{e}{e^t e} = \frac{e}{n}$$

Properties

A unit vectors e_i is mapped to itself:

$$F_{\bar{x}}(e_i) = \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}e_i}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}e_i} = \frac{(0,\ldots,0,\bar{x}_i,0,\ldots,0)^t}{e^t(0,\ldots,0,\bar{x}_i,0,\ldots,0)^t} = e_i$$

Properties

All nodes of the simplex are mapped to the simplex:

$$F_{\bar{x}}(x) = \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}x}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}x} = \frac{\left(\frac{x_1}{\bar{x}_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\bar{x}_n}\right)^t}{e^t \left(\frac{x_1}{\bar{x}_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\bar{x}_n}\right)^t} = \frac{\left(\frac{x_1}{\bar{x}_1}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\bar{x}_n}\right)^t}{\sum_i \frac{x_i}{\bar{x}_i}} \in \Delta$$

The Transformation

Easy to check:

- $F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}$ really is the inverse of $F_{\bar{x}}$.
- $\bar{x}$ is mapped to e/n.
- A unit vectors e_i is mapped to itself.
- All nodes of the simplex are mapped to the simplex.

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

After the transformation we have the problem

$$\min\{c^t F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(x) \mid A F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(x) = 0; x \in \Delta\}$$
$$= \min\left\{\frac{c^t \bar{Y}x}{e^t \bar{Y}x} \mid \frac{A\bar{Y}x}{e^t \bar{Y}x} = 0; x \in \Delta\right\}$$

This holds since the back-transformation "reaches" every point in Δ (i.e. $F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\Delta) = \Delta$).

Since the optimum solution is 0 this problem is the same as

$$\min\{\hat{c}^t x \mid \hat{A}x = 0, x \in \Delta\}$$

with $\hat{c} = \bar{Y}^t c = \bar{Y}c$ and $\hat{A} = A\bar{Y}$.

We still need to make e/n feasible.

- We know that our LP is feasible. Let $\bar{x}$ be a feasible point.
- Apply $F_{\bar{x}}$, and solve

$$\min\{\hat{c}^t x \mid \hat{A}x = 0; x \in \Delta\}$$

- The feasible point is moved to the center.

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

When computing $\hat{x}$ we do not want to leave the simplex or touch its boundary (why?).

For this we compute the radius of a ball that completely lies in the simplex.

$$B\left(\frac{e}{n}, \rho\right) = \left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \left\|x - \frac{e}{n}\right\| \le \rho\right\}.$$

We are looking for the largest radius r such that

$$B\left(\frac{e}{n}, r\right) \cap \left\{x \mid e^t x = 1\right\} \subseteq \Delta.$$

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

This holds for $r = \|\frac{e}{n} - (e - e_1)\frac{1}{n-1}\|$. ($r$ is the distance between the center e/n and the center of the $(n-1)$-dimensional simplex obtained by intersecting a side ($x_i = 0$) of the unit cube with Δ.)

This gives $r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}$.

Now we consider the problem

$$\min\{\hat{c}^t x \mid \hat{A}x = 0, x \in B(e/n, r) \cap \Delta\}$$

The Simplex

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

Ideally we would like to go in direction of $-\hat{c}$ (starting from the center of the simplex).

However, doing this may violate constraints $\hat{A}x = 0$ or the constraint $x \in \Delta$.

Therefore we first project $\hat{c}$ on the nullspace of

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A} \\ e^t \end{pmatrix}$$

We use

$$P = I - B^t (BB^t)^{-1} B$$

Then

$$\hat{d} = P\hat{c}$$

is the required projection.

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

We get the new point

$$\hat{x}(\rho) = \frac{e}{n} - \rho \frac{\hat{d}}{\|d\|}$$

for $\rho < r$.

Choose $\rho = \alpha r$ with $\alpha = 1/4$.

10 Karmarkars Algorithm

Iteration of Karmarkars algorithm:

- Current solution $\bar{x}$. $\bar{Y} := \mathrm{diag}(\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_n)$.
- Transform the problem via $F_{\bar{x}}(x) = \frac{\bar{Y}^{-1}x}{e^t \bar{Y}^{-1}x}$. Let $\hat{c} = \bar{Y}c$, and $\hat{A} = A\bar{Y}$.
- Compute
$$d = (I - B^t(BB^t)^{-1}B)\hat{c} \ ,$$

 where $B = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A} \\ e^t \end{pmatrix}$.

- Set
$$\hat{x} = \frac{e}{n} - \rho \frac{d}{\|d\|} \ ,$$

  with $\rho = \alpha r$ with $\alpha = 1/4$ and $r = 1/\sqrt{n(n-1)}$.

- Compute $\bar{x}_{\mathrm{new}} = F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(\hat{x})$.

The Simplex

Lemma 2
The new point $\hat{x}$ in the transformed space is the point that minimizes the cost $\hat{c}^t x$ among all feasible points in $B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho)$.

Proof: Let z be another feasible point in $B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho)$.

As $\hat{A}z = 0$, $\hat{A}\hat{x} = 0$, $e^t z = 1$, $e^t \hat{x} = 1$ we have

$$B(\hat{x} - z) = 0 \ .$$

Further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\hat{c} - d)^t &= (\hat{c} - P\hat{c})^t \\
&= (B^t(BB^t)^{-1}B\hat{c})^t \\
&= \hat{c}^t B^t(BB^t)^{-1}B
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, we get

$$(\hat{c} - d)^t(\hat{x} - z) = 0 \text{ or } \hat{c}^t(\hat{x} - z) = d^t(\hat{x} - z)$$

which means that the cost-difference between $\hat{x}$ and z is the same measured w.r.t. the cost-vector $\hat{c}$ or the projected cost-vector d.

But

$$\frac{d^t}{\|d\|}(\hat{x} - z) = \frac{d^t}{\|d\|}\left(\frac{e}{n} - \rho\frac{d}{\|d\|} - z\right) = \frac{d^t}{\|d\|}\left(\frac{e}{n} - z\right) - \rho < 0$$

as $\frac{e}{n} - z$ is a vector of length at most ρ.

This gives $d(\hat{x} - z) \leq 0$ and therefore $\hat{c}\hat{x} \leq \hat{c}z$.

In order to measure the progress of the algorithm we introduce a potential function f:

$$f(x) = \sum_j \ln\left(\frac{c^t x}{x_j}\right) = n\ln(c^t x) - \sum_j \ln(x_j) \ .$$

- ▶ The function f is invariant to scaling (i.e., $f(kx) = f(x)$).
- ▶ The potential function essentially measures cost (note the term $n\ln(c^t x)$) but it penalizes us for choosing x_j values very small (by the term $-\sum_j \ln(x_j)$; note that $-\ln(x_j)$ is always positive).

For a point z in the transformed space we use the potential function

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{f}(z) &:= f(F_{\bar{x}}^{-1}(z)) = f\left(\frac{\bar{Y}z}{e^t\bar{Y}z}\right) = f(\bar{Y}z) \\
&= \sum_j \ln\left(\frac{c^t\bar{Y}z}{\bar{x}_j z_j}\right) = \sum_j \ln\left(\frac{\hat{c}^t z}{z_j}\right) - \sum_j \ln \bar{x}_j
\end{aligned}
$$

Observation:
This means the potential of a point in the transformed space is simply the potential of its pre-image under F.

Note that if we are interested in potential-change we can ignore the additive term above. Then f and $\hat{f}$ have the same form; only c is replaced by $\hat{c}$.

The basic idea is to show that one iteration of Karmarkar results in a constant decrease of $\hat{f}$. This means

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) \le \hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \delta ,$$

where δ is a constant.

This gives

$$f(\bar{x}_{\text{new}}) \le f(\bar{x}) - \delta .$$

Lemma 3
There is a feasible point z (i.e., $\hat{A}z = 0$) in $B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho) \cap \Delta$ that has

$$\hat{f}(z) \le \hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \delta$$

with $\delta = \ln(1 + \alpha)$.

Note that this shows the existence of a good point within the ball. In general it will be difficult to find this point.

Let z^* be the feasible point in the transformed space where $\hat{c}^t x$ is minimized. (Note that in contrast $\hat{x}$ is the point in the intersection of the feasible region and $B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho)$ that minimizes this function; in general $z^* \ne \hat{x}$)

z^* must lie at the boundary of the simplex. This means $z^* \notin B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho)$.

The point z we want to use lies farthest in the direction from $\frac{e}{n}$ to z^*, namely

$$z = (1 - \lambda)\frac{e}{n} + \lambda z^*$$

for some positive $\lambda < 1$.

Hence,

$$\hat{c}^t z = (1 - \lambda)\hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n} + \lambda \hat{c}^t z^*$$

The optimum cost (at z^*) is zero.

Therefore,

$$\frac{\hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n}}{\hat{c}^t z} = \frac{1}{1 - \lambda}$$

The improvement in the potential function is

$$\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(z) = \sum_j \ln(\frac{\hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n}}{\frac{1}{n}}) - \sum_j \ln(\frac{\hat{c}^t z}{z_j})$$

$$= \sum_j \ln(\frac{\hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n}}{\hat{c}^t z} \cdot \frac{z_j}{\frac{1}{n}})$$

$$= \sum_j \ln(\frac{n}{1 - \lambda} z_j)$$

$$= \sum_j \ln(\frac{n}{1 - \lambda}((1 - \lambda)\frac{1}{n} + \lambda z_j^*))$$

$$= \sum_j \ln(1 + \frac{n\lambda}{1 - \lambda} z_j^*)$$

We can use the fact that for non-negative s_i

$$\sum_i \ln(1 + s_i) \geq \ln(1 + \sum_i s_i)$$

This gives

$$\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(z) = \sum_j \ln(1 + \frac{n\lambda}{1 - \lambda} z_j^*)$$

$$\geq \ln(1 + \frac{n\lambda}{1 - \lambda})$$

In order to get further we need a bound on λ:

$$\alpha r = \rho = \|z - e/n\| = \|\lambda(z^* - e/n)\| \leq \lambda R$$

Here R is the radius of the ball around $\frac{e}{n}$ that contains the whole simplex.
$R = \sqrt{(n - 1)/n}$. Since $r = 1/\sqrt{(n-1)n}$ we have $R/r = n - 1$ and

$$\lambda \geq \alpha/(n - 1)$$

Then

$$1 + n\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \geq 1 + \frac{n\alpha}{n - \alpha - 1} \geq 1 + \alpha$$

This gives the lemma.

Lemma 4
If we choose $\alpha = 1/4$ and $n \geq 4$ in Karmarkars algorithm the point $\hat{x}$ satisfies

$$\hat{f}(\hat{x}) \leq \hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \delta$$

with $\delta = 1/10$.

Proof:

Define

$$g(x) = n \ln \frac{\hat{c}^t x}{\hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n}}$$

$$= n(\ln \hat{c}^t x - \ln \hat{c}^t \frac{e}{n}) .$$

This is the change in the cost part of the potential function when going from the center $\frac{e}{n}$ to the point x in the transformed space.

Similar, the penalty when going from $\frac{e}{n}$ to w increases by

$$h(w) = \text{pen}(w) - \text{pen}(\frac{e}{n}) = -\sum_j \ln \frac{w_j}{\frac{1}{n}}$$

where $\text{pen}(v) = -\sum_j \ln(v_j)$.

We want to derive a lower bound on

$$\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(\hat{x}) = [\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(z)]$$

$$+ h(z)$$

$$- h(x)$$

$$+ [g(z) - g(\hat{x})]$$

where z is the point in the ball where $\hat{f}$ achieves its minimum.

We have

$$[\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(z)] \geq \ln(1 + \alpha)$$

by the previous lemma.

We have

$$[g(z) - g(\hat{x})] \geq 0$$

since $\hat{x}$ is the point with minimum cost in the ball, and g is monotonically increasing with cost.

For a point in the ball we have

$$\hat{f}(w) - (\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) + g(w))h(w)$$

(The increase in penalty when going from $\frac{e}{n}$ to w).

This is at most $\frac{\beta^2}{2(1-\beta)}$ with $\beta = n\alpha r$.
Hence,

$$\hat{f}(\frac{e}{n}) - \hat{f}(\hat{x}) \geq \ln(1+\alpha) - \frac{\beta^2}{(1-\beta)} \ .$$

Lemma 5
For $|x| \leq \beta < 1$

$$|\ln(1+x) - x| \leq \frac{x^2}{2(1-\beta)} \ .$$

This gives for $w \in B(\frac{e}{n}, \rho)$

$$\left| \sum_j \ln \frac{w_j}{1/n} \right| = \left| \sum_j \ln(\frac{1/n + (w_j - 1/n)}{1/n}) - \sum_j n(w_j - \frac{1}{n}) \right|$$

$$= \left| \sum_j \left[\ln(1 + \overbrace{n(w_j - 1/n)}^{\leq n\alpha r < 1}) - n(w_j - \frac{1}{n}) \right] \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_j \frac{n^2(w_j - 1/n)^2}{2(1 - \alpha n r)}$$

$$\leq \frac{(\alpha n r)^2}{2(1 - \alpha n r)}$$

The decrease in potential is therefore at least

$$\ln(1+\alpha) - \frac{\beta^2}{1-\beta}$$

with $\beta = n\alpha r = \alpha\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}}$.

It can be shown that this is at least $\frac{1}{10}$ for $n \geq 4$ and $\alpha = 1/4$.

Let $\bar{x}^{(k)}$ be the current point after the k-th iteration, and let $\bar{x}^{(0)} = \frac{e}{n}$.

Then $f(\bar{x}^{(k)}) \leq f(e/n) - k/10$.
This gives

$$n \ln \frac{c^t \bar{x}^{(k)}}{c^t \frac{e}{n}} \leq \sum_j \ln \bar{x}_j^{(k)} - \sum_j \ln \frac{1}{n} - k/10$$

$$\leq n \ln n - k/10$$

Choosing $k = 10n(\ell + \ln n)$ with $\ell = \Theta(L)$ we get

$$\frac{c^t \bar{x}^{(k)}}{c^t \frac{e}{n}} \leq e^{-\ell} \leq 2^{-\ell} \ .$$

Hence, $\Theta(nL)$ iterations are sufficient. One iteration can be performed in time $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$.