Problem Definition:

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: 0 \le f(e) \le u(e)$ $∀v ∈ V$: $f(v) = b(v)$

- \blacktriangleright *G* = *(V, E)* is a directed graph.
- \blacktriangleright *u* : *E* → \mathbb{R}_0^+ \cup { ∞ } is the capacity function.
- $f{f}$ *c* : $E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the cost function (note that *c(e)* may be negative).
- *► b* : $V \to \mathbb{R}$, $\sum_{v \in V} b(v) = 0$ is a demand function.

Solve Maxflow Using Mincost Flow

Solve decision version of maxflow:

- **►** Given a flow network for a standard maxflow problem, and a value *k*.
- *►* Set $b(v) = 0$ for every node apart from *s* or *t*. Set $b(s) = -k$ and $b(t) = k$.
- **Follo** Set edge-costs to zero, and keep the capacities.
- \blacktriangleright There exists a maxflow of value at least k if and only if the mincost-flow problem is feasible.

14 Mincost Flow

Solve Maxflow Using Mincost Flow

- ▶ Given a flow network for a standard maxflow problem.
- \blacktriangleright Set $b(v) = 0$ for every node. Keep the capacity function u for all edges. Set the cost *c(e)* for every edge to 0.
- *^ñ* Add an edge from *t* to *s* with infinite capacity and cost −1.
- ► Then, $val(f^*) = -cost(f_{min})$, where f^* is a maxflow, and *f*_{min} is a mincost-flow.

```
\overline{U} \overline{
```
14 Mincost Flow

Generalization

Our model:

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: 0 \le f(e) \le u(e)$ $∀v ∈ V$: $f(v) = b(v)$

where $b: V \to \mathbb{R}, \Sigma_v b(v) = 0; u: E \to \mathbb{R}_0^+ \cup {\infty}, c: E \to \mathbb{R},$

A more general model?

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$ *∀v* ∈ *V* : $a(v)$ ≤ $f(v)$ ≤ $b(v)$

where $a: V \to \mathbb{R}, b: V \to \mathbb{R}, \ell: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, u: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;

Generalization

Differences

- \blacktriangleright Flow along an edge *e* may have non-zero lower bound $\ell(e)$.
- **Flow along** *e* may have negative upper bound $u(e)$.
- \blacktriangleright The demand at a node *v* may have lower bound $a(v)$ and upper bound $b(v)$ instead of just lower bound = upper bound = $b(v)$.

14 Mincost Flow

Reduction II

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$ $∀v ∈ V$: $f(v) = b(v)$

We can assume that either $\ell(e) \neq -\infty$ or $u(e) \neq \infty$:

If $c(e) = 0$ we can contract the edge/identify nodes *u* and *v*.

If $c(e) \neq 0$ we can transform the graph so that $c(e) = 0$.

Reduction I

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$ *∀v* ∈ *V* : $a(v)$ ≤ $f(v)$ ≤ $b(v)$

We can assume that $a(v) = b(v)$:

Add new node *r* .

Reduction II

Reduction III

We can assume that $\ell(e) \neq -\infty$:

Replace the edge by an edge in opposite direction.

Applications

 \blacksquare

Caterer Problem

- \blacktriangleright She needs to supply r_i napkins on *N* successive days.
- \blacktriangleright She can buy new napkins at *p* cents each.
- \blacktriangleright She can launder them at a fast laundry that takes m days and cost *f* cents a napkin.
- \blacktriangleright She can use a slow laundry that takes $k > m$ days and costs *s* cents each.
- **▶ At the end of each day she should determine how many to** send to each laundry and how many to buy in order to fulfill demand.
- **Minimize cost.**

Reduction IV

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$ $∀v ∈ V$: $f(v) = b(v)$

We can assume that $\ell(e) = 0$:

A circulation in a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a function $f : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$ that has an excess flow $f(v) = 0$ for every node $v \in V$.

A circulation is feasible if it fulfills capacity constraints, i.e., $f(e) \leq u(e)$ for every edge of *G*.

Residual Graph

The residual graph for a mincost flow is exactly defined as the residual graph for standard flows, with the only exception that one needs to define a cost for the residual edge.

For a flow of z from u to v the residual edge (v, u) has capacity *z* and a cost of $-c((u, v))$.

 \overline{O} $\overline{$

14 Mincost Flow

Lemma 1

A given flow is a mincost-flow if and only if the corresponding residual graph G^f does not have a feasible circulation of negative cost.

⇒ Suppose that *g* is a feasible circulation of negative cost in the residual graph.

Then $f + g$ is a feasible flow with cost $cost(f) + cost(a) < cost(f)$. Hence, *f* is not minimum cost.

⇐ Let *f* be a non-mincost flow, and let *f* ∗ be a min-cost flow. We need to show that the residual graph has a feasible circulation with negative cost.

Clearly *f* [∗] − *f* is a circulation of negative cost. One can also easily see that it is feasible for the residual graph. (after sending −*f* in the residual graph (pushing all flow back) we arrive at the original graph; for this f^* is clearly feasible)

14 Mincost Flow

14 Mincost Flow Algorithm 22 CycleCanceling $(G = (V, E), c, u, b)$ 1: establish a feasible flow *f* in *G* 2: while *G^f* contains negative cycle do 3: use Bellman-Ford to find a negative circuit *Z* 4: $\delta \leftarrow \min\{u_f(e) \mid e \in Z\}$ 5: augment *δ* units along *Z* and update *G^f* 14 Mincost Flow $\boxed{\cup}$ $\boxed{\cup$

14 Mincost Flow

Lemma 2

A graph (without zero-capacity edges) has a feasible circulation of negative cost if and only if it has a negative cycle w.r.t. edge-weights $c : E \to \mathbb{R}$.

Proof.

- ▶ Suppose that we have a negative cost circulation.
- **Find directed path only using edges that have non-zero flow.**
- **Follo** If this path has negative cost you are done.
- **Otherwise send flow in opposite direction along the cycle** until the bottleneck edge(s) does not carry any flow.
- ▶ You still have a circulation with negative cost.
- ▶ Repeat.

14 Mincost Flow

How do we find the initial feasible flow?

- \triangleright Connect new node *s* to all nodes with negative $b(v)$ -value.
- \blacktriangleright Connect nodes with positive $b(v)$ -value to a new node *t*.
- **Figure 1** There exist a feasible flow in the original graph iff in the resulting graph there exists an *s*-*t* flow of value

 \sum $(-b(v)) =$ *v*:*b(v)<*0 $\sum_{\alpha} b(v)$. *v*:*b(v)>*0

14 Mincost Flow

14 Mincost Flow 1 2 3 4 *(*2*,* ¹*) (*-2*,* ³*) (*-3*,* ¹*) (*3*,* ²*) (*-1*,* 2*) (*1*,* 2*) (*2*,* ¹ *()* -2*,* ¹*) (*1*,* ²*) (*-1*,* ³*)* 0 $-(1)$ $(-1, 2)$ (4) 0 14 Mincost Flow THE GRATIAN STREET STREET ASSESSED MANAGER FROM THE STREET ST

14 Mincost Flow

Lemma 3

The improving cycle algorithm runs in time $O(nm^2CU)$ *, for integer capacities and costs, when for all edges* e *,* $|c(e)| \leq C$ *and* $|u(e)| \leq U$.

- \blacktriangleright Running time of Bellman-Ford is $O(mn)$.
- **•** Pushing flow along the cycle can be done in time $O(n)$.
- ▶ Each iteration decreases the total cost by at least 1.
- **Follow** The true optimum cost must lie in the interval *[*−*mCU, . . . ,* +*mCU]*.

Note that this lemma is weak since it does not allow for edges with infinite capacity.

14 Mincost Flow

14 Mincost Flow

A general mincost flow problem is of the following form:

min $\sum_e c(e) f(e)$ s.t. $\forall e \in E: \ell(e) \leq f(e) \leq u(e)$ *∀v* ∈ *V* : $a(v)$ ≤ $f(v)$ ≤ $b(v)$

where $a: V \to \mathbb{R}, b: V \to \mathbb{R}, \ell: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, u: E \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ $c: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$;

Lemma 4 (without proof)

A general mincost flow problem can be solved in polynomial time.

